

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/731,895	12/08/2000	Hyeon-Ho Son	8733.337.00	1204	
30827	7590 01/30/2004	01/30/2004		EXAMINER	
MCKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP			NGUYEN, DUNG T		
1900 K STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2871		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/731,895	SON, HYEON-HO			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Dung Nguyen	2871			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>03</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21	October 2003.				
(<u> </u>	<u> </u>	is action is non-final.				
<u> </u>						
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 1-38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 10-14, 19-21,27-31 and 35 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-9,15-18,22-26,32-34,36-38 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 10/21/03 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) ratent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendment dated 10/21/2003 has been received and entered.

By the amendment, claims 1-9, 15-18, 22-26, 32-34 and 36-38 are now pending in the application.

Claim Objections

1. Claim 22 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 5, the phrase "crossing of the gate and the data lines defining pixel regions" should be deleted and inserted after "on the second substrate" (line 6). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the center electrode" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 15, 22-24, 32-34 and 36-37 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Young, US Patent No. 6,441,873, as stated in the previous office action.

Art Unit: 2871

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. Claims 8-9, 16-18, 25-26 and 38 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young, US Patent No. 6,441,873, in view of Applicant admitted prior art (APA), figure 5, as stated in the previous office action.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 10/21/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Although claims 1, 16, 22 and 32 are amended to recite the feature of "a pixel electrode ... including a slit therethrough", such amendment is not sufficient to overcome its rejection in the previous office action since the same is true of the Young's slits (depressions 50).

Applicant contends that Young, singly or in combination, fails to teach or suggest s at least the feature of "a pixel electrode in one of the pixel regions on the first substrate, the pixel electrode having a slit therethrough and side edge portions, the side edge portions being bent" since the Young's depressions do not extend through the pixel electrode, and the feature of the present claims was inherent to the "slit" recited by claims 1, 16, 22 and 32. The Examiner

Art Unit: 2871

respectfully disagrees with the Applicant's viewpoint, and Applicant is respectfully invited to review figures 1-2 as well as the shape of the opening/depressions (50) (figures 3A to 3G) and accompanying text to disclose the openings/depressions (50). In addition, according to *Merriam Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, Tenth Edition*, the term "slit" denotes for an opening (as same as figures 3A-3E) or a long narrow cut (as figure 3F). Therefore, the Applicant's slit and the Young's openings/depressions would be the same as well.

Regarding claims 8-9, 25-26 and 38, Applicant's argument is that Applicant makes no admission as to prior art with respect to figure 5. It should be noted that, according to the Applicant's specification, figure 5 is a cross sectional view of figure 4 which is a conventional multi-domain TFT LCD panel (emphasis added). In other words, figure 5 would be a prior art as well. Furthermore, those claims are depended, directly or indirectly, on claims 1, 16, 22 and 32; therefore, its rejection under 35 USC stand.

Conclusion

10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2871

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-2297. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert H. Kim can be reached on 571-272-2293. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

DN 01/23/2004

Dung Nguyen
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 2871